

Wilson, Erika

From: Tapp, Joshua
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Lear, Gary
Cc: Weber, Rebecca; Tapp, Joshua
Subject: FW: Kanza Prairie 2 year DV with EE data omitted

Hi Gary, here is the data that I promised which should be plugged into the highlighted cell at the bottom of page 1. Rather than version control issues, I am requesting you plug that in at this point.

Thanks.

From: Regehr, James
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 12:21 PM
To: Tapp, Joshua
Subject: Kanza Prairie 2 year DV with EE data omitted

Josh,
The 2 year design value with the proposed EE data omitted would be 0.074ppm, which is below that standard. If you need me to follow up on anything else or need more information, just let me know.
Thank you,
James

2011 4th max. with EE data omitted = 0.070 ppm
2012 4th max. = 0.078 ppm
 $(0.070 + 0.078) / 2 = 0.074\text{ppm}$

From: Tapp, Joshua
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 9:33 AM
To: Regehr, James
Subject: FW: Air Quality Analysis

Hi James, we are sending a briefing sheet to Janet McCabe before noon. Do you think you will have these 2 items done by then?

Thanks.

Jt

From: Tapp, Joshua
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 9:24 AM
To: Nichols, Robert
Cc: Grier, Gina
Subject: Air Quality Analysis

Hi Bob,

Earlier you guys (James) did an evaluation of the CASTNET ozone data. According to OAQPS, we can calc a d.v. based on 2 years worth of data. Can you guys double check to make sure the "draft" d.v. would be consistent with a 2 year d.v. regulatory approach. Also, if KS submitted added the Konza Prairie sight to the EE request that we approved on Dec 28th, what would the revised d.v. be? Could use this information by Tues next week if possible.

Thanks.

Josh